Severe mammal declines coincide with proliferation of invasive Burmese pythons in Everglades National Park
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Invasive species represent a significant threat to global biodiversity and a substantial economic burden. Burmese pythons, giant constricting snakes native to Asia, now are found throughout much of southern Florida, including all of Everglades National Park (ENP). Pythons have increased dramatically in both abundance and geographic range since 2000 and consume a wide variety of mammals and birds. Here we report severe apparent declines in mammal populations that coincide temporally and spatially with the proliferation of pythons in ENP. Before 2000, mammals were encountered frequently during nocturnal road surveys within ENP. In contrast, road surveys totaling 56,971 km from 2003–2011 documented a 99.3% decrease in the frequency of raccoon observations, decreases of 98.9% and 87.5% for opossum and bobcat observations, respectively, and failed to detect rabbits. Road surveys also revealed that these species are more common in areas where pythons have been discovered only recently and are most abundant outside the python’s current introduced range. These findings suggest that predation by pythons has resulted in dramatic declines in mammals within ENP and that introduced apex predators, such as giant constrictors, can exert significant top-down pressure on prey populations. Severe declines in easily observed and/or common mammals, such as raccoons and bobcats, bode poorly for species of conservation concern, which often are more difficult to sample and occur at lower densities.

Results
From 1993–1999, raccoons (Procyon lotor), Virginia opossums (Didelphis virginiana), and rabbits (Sylvilagus spp.) were the most common mammals found during roadkill surveys in ENP (Fig. 3). Encounter rates of live and dead mammals during systematic nocturnal road surveys in 1996–1997 corroborated this pattern, with raccoons (2.8 observations/100 km) and opossums (0.9/100 km) being the most frequently encountered species. Substantial decreases in the encounter rates of several species of mammals were apparent from 2003–2011 (Fig. 4A). Despite consistency of survey methods, we observed no rabbits or foxes (Urocyon cinereoargenteus and Vulpes vexillata) between 2003 and 2011, found a 99.3% decrease in raccoon observations and decreases of 98.9%, 94.1%, and 87.5% for opossums, white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus), and bobcats (Lynx rufus), respectively. Observations of other mammals, including rodents, coyotes (Canis latrans), and Florida panthers (Puma concolor coryi) increased slightly (<0.02/100 km), but the overall numbers of observations for these groups were low. We also found considerable spatial variation in mammal observations. At peripheral locations, where pythons have been documented only recently and python densities presumably are much lower than in core areas, raccoon (2.8 observations/100 km) and opossums (0.9/100 km) are the most common species observed during road surveys.
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lower, mammal encounter rates generally were intermediate between the 1996–1997 and 2003–2011 values for ENP (Fig. 4B). Specifically, mean encounter rates of opossums, raccoons, and foxes in recent surveys at peripheral sites were 44%, 89%, and 83% lower, respectively, than historical encounter rates in ENP (Fig. 4B). Rabbits were observed at only one peripheral site during recent surveys (Table S1). Observation frequency of raccoons and opossums at two extralimital locales were similar to historical sighting frequencies in ENP and were substantially higher than sighting rates in recent surveys of ENP and peripheral locales (Fig. 4B) (21). However, this pattern did not hold for deer, which were sighted less frequently in recent surveys at all sites than in ENP before python proliferation. Details of data by year, site, and species are provided in Table S1.

Discussion

Numerous lines of evidence implicate introduced Burmese pythons as the primary cause of dramatic declines of several species of once-abundant mammals in ENP. First, the timing of the python proliferation in ENP (19) coincides with reductions in mammal abundances. Second, spatial variation in encounter rates of mammals correlates strongly with the spread of pythons throughout ENP and surrounding areas. In areas where pythons have been established longest (southern ENP), mammal populations appear to have been reduced severely; in peripheral areas where pythons have been documented only recently (20), several species of mammals appear to occur at lower densities than at sites where pythons have not been documented (21). Third, raccoons, opossums, bobcats, deer, and rabbits have been documented in the diet of pythons in ENP (14, 15); these animals represent several diverse taxonomic and trophic groups (i.e., Carnivora, Didelphimorpha, Artiodactyla, Lagomorpha), arguing against a single disease as the agent of decline. Fourth, raccoons and opossums often forage near the water’s edge, a microhabitat frequented by ambushing pythons (22). Fifth, in addition to frequenting habitats used by foraging pythons, mammals such as raccoons, opossums, deer, and bobcats may be naive to predation by large snakes. Boid snakes went extinct in the eastern United States during the Miocene, concomitant with other climatic, vegetation, and faunal, [e.g., the rise of colubroid snakes (23)] changes. The most recent large boids in the eastern United States are those from the Hemingfordian (20.6–16.3 Mya) Thomas Farm, Florida site (see ref. 24 for taxonomic discussion of these fossils, which might be synonymous with Boa constrictor). Thus,
for at least 16 million years, there have been no snakes in Florida large enough to prey on medium-sized mammals (24). Finally, ENP represents a vast natural area where hunting is prohibited; other than changes in water-management regimes, anthropogenic impacts in ENP that might result in mammal declines have not changed markedly during the last two decades (25).

Severe declines in mammal populations have occurred across the globe and are attributable to various factors. In Asia, declines of mammals are coincident with declines in other animal taxa and have been attributed to deforestation, wildfire, bushmeat hunting, and the wildlife trade (26, 27). Although habitat loss and over-exploitation are thought to be the primary threats to mammal populations in the United States (28), ENP is largely protected from these impacts, and the declines we observed were most severe in the remote southern portion of ENP (25). Diseases, such as canine distemper, have resulted in declines of African predators, most notably silver-backed jackals (Canis mesomelas), wild dogs (Lycaon pictus), and bat-eared foxes (Otocyon megalotis (29)). Limited evidence of disease has been noted in the varied mammalian taxa that have declined in ENP during the time period we examined, and there is no evidence of a disease that could have resulted in the widespread patterns of declines we have documented across taxa. In Australia, mammal declines since European settlement have been attributed to various factors including persecution of top-predators (dingos; Canis lupus dingo), which has allowed introduced predators, notably cats (Felis catus) and red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) to proliferate (30). Similar to the declines we document in ENP, declines in Australian mammals have occurred in a number of taxa and lend support to the top-down effects apex predators can have on ecosystems (31, 32).

Numerous published accounts and anecdotal observations by ENP personnel and others lend further support that dramatic declines in mammal populations have occurred in ENP since the proliferation of pythons (SI Text). Marsh rabbits and raccoons were once described as the most commonly seen mammals in the Everglades (25, 33). In the 1980s, raccoons were such nuisances in campgrounds and visitor-use areas that a control program was initiated in ENP. The number of human-raccoon incidents documented by ENP has declined precipitously since the 1990s, and although raccoons still are found in some coastal areas around ENP, no nuisance raccoon incidents have been reported from the southern part of ENP since 2005. Interviews with naturalists who have visited ENP regularly for decades reveal that none have seen rabbits in the core of ENP in recent years. Although the spatiotemporal patterns are correlative, the preponderance of evidence supports the hypothesis that pythons have severely reduced mammal populations within ENP.

The mammal species we focus on here are some of the most tractable for population monitoring because their abundance and behaviors make them easily observable from roads (34). These species can serve as proxies for species of conservation concern that often are more difficult to monitor because of low densities, spotty distributions, or secretive behavior. Pythons have been reported to consume leopards in their native range (35), and thus even top predators, such as the Florida panther, may be at risk. Approximately 25% of all pythons found in ENP contain bird remains (17), and although quantifying impacts on birds is difficult, species such as rails, limpkins, grebes, herons,

![Fig. 2. Python removals from ENP and its environs from 1995–2010. Note that data include captures resulting from opportunistic encounters of pythons and thus are not corrected for effort. The slight decrease in numbers of pythons captured during 2010 may be the result of a severe freeze in South Florida during January of that year (43).](image)

![Fig. 3. Encounter rates of mammal taxa in ENP reflected in roadkills recorded by park staff from 1993–1999, before pythons become common. Note that these data represent only the number of overall observations and are not corrected for distance (i.e., kilometers driven).](image)
egrets, and the federally endangered wood stork may be particularly vulnerable to python predation.

Most medium-sized mammals showed severe declines after python proliferation. Although deer observations declined by 94%, and deer are known prey of pythons in South Florida (18), the relatively low number of deer observed in recent surveys at peripheral and extralimital sites raises the possibility that factors other than pythons may have contributed to declines in deer populations (36). Additionally, we documented slight increases in sighting rates of rodents, coyotes, and Florida panthers within ENP. However, overall numbers for these groups are low both before and after python proliferation, making firm conclusions regarding the status of their current populations difficult.

Although rodents are common prey items for young pythons, the severe declines in other major predators of rodents (e.g., bobcats and foxes) may have reduced overall predation pressure after python proliferation (37). Additionally, the high reproductive potential of many rodents (38) may make them better able to withstand python predation than larger mammal species.

The effects of declining mammal populations on ecosystem function are likely complex and difficult to predict (39). Declines in bobcats and foxes could be the result of direct predation or of exploitation competition for shared prey such as rabbits. Prey declines could negatively affect other predators that are not frequently consumed by pythons, such as large native snakes and raptors. For some species, indirect effects of pythons may be positive. Reductions in raccoons, which frequently prey on eggs of oviparous amniotes (40), may increase nesting success and recruitment of some turtles, crocodilians, and birds.

Attempts to assess responses of organisms to emerging threats (e.g., invasive species, disease, climate change) often are hampered by lack of historical or baseline abundance data (41). We were fortunate to have available effort-corrected data from 1996–1997 comparable to the data on mammal relative abundances we have collected since the proliferation of pythons, allowing us to document declines in numerous mammal species accurately. However, our reliance on indirect estimates of mammal abundance in ENP is the result of a nearly complete absence of actual density or population size estimates based on rigorous and repeatable field methods. Therefore, baseline monitoring efforts of even common species are needed to allow accurate assessment of temporal trends in wildlife populations, whether resulting from invasive species, climate change, disease, hydrological management, or other factors.

Our results also suggest that giant snakes, acting as generalist apex predators, can exert significant top-down pressure on vertebrate populations, even in a complex ecosystem with an exceedingly wide array of available prey species. The significance of top predators for ecosystem function has been demonstrated when

![Fig. 4. Temporal and spatial variation in mammal abundances in South Florida. (A) Temporal variation in mammal-encounter rates in ENP, as reflected in distance-corrected road survey counts (live and roadkill) before (1996–1997) and after (2003–2011) pythons became common. Numbers below bars represent the change in number of observations/100 km for each species or group. (B) Current (2008–2011) spatial variation in mammal-encounter rates as reflected in distance-corrected road survey counts in core (southern ENP), peripheral, and extralimital regions of python range; data for one of the two extralimital sites were taken from Holbrook and Chesnes (21). Pythons have been recorded in the core region for at least a decade and in peripheral locations more recently. Numbers below bars represent the change in number of observations/100 km for each species or group for peripheral locations vs. core python habitat (Upper) and extralimital sites vs. core python habitat (Lower). Errors bars represent SEM.](https://www.pnas.org/content/10.1073/pnas.1115226109)
such predators are removed from marine, terrestrial, and freshwater ecosystems (32). The addition of such predators is similarly informative. The introduction of predators has resulted in major impacts to insular faunas (13, 42). Here, we suggest that introduction of a novel top predator to a complex continental ecosystem has resulted in the severe decline of several mammal populations. Whether mammal populations will remain suppressed or will rebound remains to be seen. The magnitude of these declines underscores the apparent incredible density of pythons in ENP and justifies intensive investigation into how the addition of novel apex predators affects overall ecosystem processes.

**Methods**

We compiled records of road-killed mammals from surveys conducted by National Park Service researchers within ENP from 1993–1999, before pythons were common in ENP. These surveys were conducted by park rangers who kept track of all road-killed animals while working in ENP but did not keep track of distance driven. To estimate survey effort, from February 1996 to January 1997, we conducted weekly systematic mammal surveys within ENP and counted both live and road-killed animals. Surveys were conducted along the Main Park Road (MPR) and Research Road (both paved with asphalt) from the Daniel Beard Research Center near Royal Palm to Flamingo and back. Driving speed typically was between 55 and 70 km/h; traffic volumes were not measured but we estimated the number of vehicles per vehicle mile based on one and four but was usually one or two. Surveys (130-km round trip) began at sunset and totaled 6,599 km over 51 nights in 1996–1997. Road-killed animals were removed from the road, and we did not count animals that were obvious roadkill. We thank J. Holbrook, C. Gillette, S. Goetz, S. Pfaff, R. Rozar, and D. Smith for providing data and information used in this study. S. Price and G. H. Rodda provided comments that improved the manuscript. Support was provided by Davidson College, Duke Energy, the J. E. and Marie B. Pfitzmann Foundation, Inc., the Center for Forest Sustainability at Auburn University, US Geological Survey Ecosystem Programs, US Geological Survey Priority Ecosystem Science Program, and the National Park Service.
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