This article was downloaded by: *[Canadian Research Knowledge Network]* On: *26 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: [subscription number 932223628]* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597268

Total Hg and methyl Hg distribution in sediments of selected Louisiana water bodies

Ronald D. DeLaune^a; Robert P. Gambrell^a; Istvan Devai^a; Aroon Jugsujinda^a; Manoch Kongchum^b ^a Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, School of the Coast and Environment, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA ^b School of Plant, Environment and Soil Sciences, Ag Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA

First published on: 01 May 2009

To cite this Article DeLaune, Ronald D., Gambrell, Robert P., Devai, Istvan, Jugsujinda, Aroon and Kongchum, Manoch(2009) 'Total Hg and methyl Hg distribution in sediments of selected Louisiana water bodies', Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A, 44: 6, 557 - 567, First published on: 01 May 2009 (iFirst)

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10934520902784575 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10934520902784575

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Total Hg and methyl Hg distribution in sediments of selected Louisiana water bodies

RONALD D. DELAUNE¹, ROBERT P. GAMBRELL¹, ISTVAN DEVAI¹, AROON JUGSUJINDA¹ and MANOCH KONGCHUM²

¹Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, School of the Coast and Environment, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA ²School of Plant, Environment and Soil Sciences, Ag Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA

Sediment samples (543) collected from selected Louisiana streams and lakes were analyzed for total Hg and methyl Hg content. The average total Hg content among 543 samples was $92.3 \pm 95.1 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$. The average methyl Hg content in the samples was $0.68 \pm 0.80 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$. Methyl Hg accounted for an average of 0.73% of the total Hg in sediment. Linear regression analysis of total Hg versus methyl Hg content of the sediment showed methyl Hg content was significantly correlated to total Hg content of sediment (P > 0.01, n = 537) and sediment organic matter content. (P > 0.01, n = 536) Methyl Hg was also positively correlated to clay (P > 0.01, n = 537) and inversely correlated to sand content of sediment (P > 0.01, n = 537). Total Hg and methyl Hg content in these sediments was within the normal range reported elsewhere indicating no significant industrial or municipal Hg contamination. A comparison of selected water bodies with fishing advisories showed no relationship to total Hg and methyl Hg in sediment.

Keywords: Hg accumulation, sediment Hg, Louisiana, water bodies fish contamination.

Introduction

Hg methylation in sediment has been well documented in numerous studies. Methyl Hg biomagnifies up the food chain more efficiently than inorganic Hg.^[1] As a result, methyl Hg accumulates in fish. Methyl Hg is a neutrotoxin that poses a health risk to humans who consume methyl Hg contaminated fish.

Fish in a number of lakes, bayous, and rivers in Louisiana are reported to contain elevated levels of Hg. The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LADEQ) periodically sampled both fish and sediment across the state for total levels with emphasis on Hg in fish tissue since excess Hg in human diets can be deleterious to health.

This statewide Hg monitoring effort has identified problem areas from the perspective of frequent fish consumption. Information on sediment factors affecting the mobility and biological availability of Hg and methyl Hg is needed for predicting Hg concentrations in fish for specific Louisiana water bodies. If these factors are understood, it would be possible at some point in the future to better predict where problem levels of Hg in fish are going to occur, and possibly to apply management practices that would minimize Hg accumulation in the food web and ultimately human consumers.

This study represents an effort in determining total Hg and methyl Hg level in sediment as related to sediment properties of selected Louisiana water bodies that may contribute to excess levels of Hg in fish. In this study, we determined total Hg and methyl Hg in sediment collected from numerous Louisiana water bodies. Characterizing methyl Hg content in surface sediment is an important step in relating causes of elevated Hg levels in fish.

Materials and methods

Procedures for analyzing sediments

Sediment sampling. Sediment samples were collected from selected sites in streams and water bodies throughout the state of Louisiana by DEQ personnel between 2001 and 2007. Some sites were sampled multiple times. A subsample of the sediment that had not come in contact with the metal of the dredge was placed in jars, sealed and was stored on ice until transported to the laboratory for storage at 2°C until the various analysis were carried out. The jars were

Address correspondence to R. D. DeLaune, Department of Oceanography and Costal Sciences, School of the Coast and Environment, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. E-mail: rdelaune@aol.com Received November 3, 2008.

completely filled to exclude air (oxygen) in order to prevent any oxidation reactions.

Analysis

After transport to Department of Oceanography and Costal Sciences laboratory an aliquot from each jar was removed and used for the determination of total Hg, methyl Hg, organic matter, total metal analysis, grain size, redox potential and pH as described next.

Redox potential and pH

Redox potential was measured using platinum electrodes and a calomel half cell. Four replicate electrodes were inserted in the sediment and allowed to equilibrate for 6 hours before measurement. The pH was measured using a combination glass-reference electrode.

Total metal analysis

Sediments were dried (100°C), ground, and thoroughly mixed prior to analysis. Sediment samples were digested using nitric-perchloric acid digestion procedure.^[2] The digested samples were diluted to volume and analyzed using Inductively Couple Argon Plasma (ICAP) emission spectroscopy. Analysis was calibrated against a known standard of each metal. Data were compiled and statistical analyses performed using Microsoft Excel available in Microsoft Professional 2000 on an IBM PC.

Organic matter analysis

Organic matter was measured by loss on ignition following pretreatment with acid to remove carbonate.^[3]

Grain size analysis

Sand, silt, and clay distribution of soil particles was measured on 40 g of air dried sediment by the hydrometer method.^[4]

Total Hg

Total (organic and inorganic) Hg was measured by cold vapor atomic absorption based on EPA Method # 245.1, 245.5, and 7471A using a Hg Instruments Analytical Technologies LabAnalyzer Model 254.

Hg contained in the prepared sample is reduced to its elemental state by reductant (tin-II- chloride). A stream of air, which is produced by a built-in membrane pump, strips the Hg from the sample and draws it into the optical cell. The concentration of Hg in the cell is determined by measuring light absorption at a wavelength of 253.7 nm. A built-in computer performs the quantitative evaluation of the response. A double beam spectrometer design contributes to a very stable baseline. In addition, the UV-detectors of the LabAnalyzer are thermostatically stabilized. Heating of the optical cell prevents a decrease in sensitivity associated with water vapor. Thus the use of a desiccant, which contributes some adsorption of vapor, is avoided. Using this method, a stable and accurate 5-point linear calibration was obtained (R = 0.998).

Methyl Hg

Methyl Hg analyses was performed using a GC-AFS system. An integrated gas chromatograph-Hg atomic fluorescence spectrometer included an Agilent Model HP 6890 Plus Series gas chromatograph coupled to a PSA Merlin Detector via a pyrolysis oven maintained at 810°C.

A fused silica analytical column with dimensions of 15 m \times 0.53 mm i.d. (Megabore) coated with a 1.5 μ m film thickness of DB-I (J&W Scientific) was used in the analysis. The column oven temperature was maintained at 50°C for 1.0 min, programmed at 30°C/min to 140°C, which was held for 3.0 min, then was programmed at 30°C/min to 250°C, which was held for 3.0 min. A split/splitless injector was used in the splitless mode and maintained at 200°C. The carrier gas flow was 4.0 mL/min of high-purity argon and the make-up gas flow was 120 mL/min of high-purity argon.

The column eluate containing methyl Hg was passed through a pyrolyzer to convert the methyl Hg to Hg⁰ (Thermolyne Tube Furnace 21100) via deactivated fused silica tubing into a Merlin Mercury Fluorescence Detector System (AFS) Model 10.023 (P.S. Analytical) which was used for Hg detection. For the PSA Merlin Mercury Fluorescence Detector system, the sheath gas flow was 200 mL/min of argon. A real time chromatograph control and data acquisition system (Hewlett Packard) was interfaced with the GC and AFS detector system for the analysis.^[5] Quantitative methyl Hg analysis was obtained using a 5point (between 0.2 μ g L⁻¹ and 10.0 μ g L⁻¹) calibration curve forced to zero (R = 0.999). Sample preparation was performed based on the method of Alli et al.^[6] and Cai et al.^[7–9].

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows total Hg and methyl Hg in 543 sediment samples from various water bodies in the state of Louisiana. Table 2 shows the average values of total Hg and methyl Hg and other chemical and physical properties of the sediment. The average total Hg content was 92.3 \pm 95.1 μ g kg⁻¹. The level of total Hg varied between 0.7 to 899.7 μ g kg⁻¹ (Table 1).

The average methyl Hg content in the samples was 0.68 \pm 0.80 μ g kg⁻¹ with a range of between 0.0 to 8.49 μ g kg⁻¹

Table 1. Total mercury (THg) and methyl mercury (MeHg) concentration in sediment sample collected from various Louisiana water bodies (concentrations are in μ g/kg dry sediment) (n = 543).

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	μg/kg	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$
1	Payou Partholomow @ Hwy 425 (10/01)	0.16	40.02	54	Bogue Falava River (05/02)	0.45	25.42
2	Boeuf River nr Columbia (10/01)	0.10	39.48	55	Tchefuncte River (05/02)	1.77	98.42
3	Bayou Louis @ Hwy 8 $(10/01)$	0.07	157.04	56	Pearl River nr Slidell (05/02)	0.02	10.49
4	Turkey Creek Lake (10/01)	0.97	224 36	57	English Bayou $(05/02)$	0.73	153.21
5	Salt Lake $(10/01)$	0.77	393.86	58	Tangipahoa River nr Lees Landing (0.09	63.59
6	Lake Chicot (10/01)	0.66	265.20		06/02)		
7	Black Lake nr Natchitoches (10/01)	1.43	401.05	59	Lake Concordia (06/02)	0.33	181.98
8	Toledo Bend nr Hunter (10/01)	0.32	86.59	60	Ouachita River nr Harrisonburg (06/02)	0.04	10.05
9	Toledo Bend (San Patrice) (10/01)	0.48	166.74	61	Henderson Lake (06/02)	2.59	266.67
10	Toledo Bend nr Toro (10/01)	1.62	156.62	62	Bayou DeLoutre SW of Sterlington (0.57	27.05
11	Nantachie Lake (10/01)	3.33	257.94		07/02)		
12	Black Lake nr Hosston (11/01)	0.43	124.83	63	Hamilton Lake (07/02)	1.57	117.34
13	Ivan Lake (11/01)	1.37	191.51	64	Big Creek (07/02)	0.67	28.02
14	Henderson Lake (11/01)	0.67	180.56	65	Bayou Lafourche nr Columbia (07/02)	0.09	4.77
15	Vermilion River @ Lafayette (11/01)	1.31	118.12	66	Bayou deLoutre nr deLoutre (07/02)	1.40	45.77
16	Bayou Amy (11/01)	0.29	105.69	67	Black River S of Jonesville Lock (07/02)	0.20	10.57
17	Kepler Lake (12/01) (12/01)	4.36	354.99	68	Catahoula Lake (LaSalle Parish) (07/02)	0.87	118.66
18	Cypress Bayou Reservoir (12/01)	1.24	180.74	69	Bayou Liberty (07/02)	0.19	47.22
19	Vermilion River nr Abbeville (12/01)	1.03	108.55	70	Bogue Chitto River nr Sun (07/02)	0.05	0.72
20	Spanish Lake nr New Iberia (01/02)	3.47	257.14	71	Pearl River Diversion Canal (07/02)	0.57	81.99
21	Blind River (01/02)	2.19	183.77	72	Tchefuncte River ($07/02$)	0.79	81.91
22	Lake Fausse Pointe (01/02)	1.46	147.16	73	Black River (08/02)	0.18	22.76
23	Lake Dauterive (01/02)	0.93	188.45	74	Tensas River nr Jonesville (08/02)	0.49	36.96
24	Grassey Lake (01/02)	2.15	205.38	15	Murphy Lake $(08/02)$	0.43	55.44 22.22
25	Henderson Lake (01/02)	3.16	290.18	/0 77	Bayou Sorrel $(08/02)$	0.06	25.25
26	Lake Misere $(01/02)$	1.21	104.85	// 70	Bayou Cowan $(08/02)$	0.40	95.11
27	Lake Fields $(01/02)$	2.22	148.97	/0 70	Boeur River (08/02) Bayou Barthalamay nr Starlington(0.90	87.02 26.22
28	Bayou Lafourche nr Lockport $(01/02)$	1.92	1/0.46	19	08/02	0.28	50.25
29	wham Brake $(02/02)$ Bayay Magan Cytaff $+2(02/02)$	1.02	128.06	80	Ouachita River nr Sterlington (08/02)	0.41	70.63
30 21	Bayou Macon Cutoff $\#2(02/02)$	2.02	128.90	81	Bayou Macon nr Wisner ($08/02$)	0.41 0.52	55 29
22	European City Park Lake $(02/02)$	5.40 0.21	103.20	82	Fresh Water Bayou Canal (08/02)	0.32	87.03
32	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	3.84	81 25	83	Seventh Ward Canal	0.40	84 01
34	Long Bayou (Concordia Parish) (02/02)	0.47	200.07	84	ICWW west of Bowman Locks (09/02)	0.47	49.90
35	Big Alahama Bayou (02/02)	0.47	131.07	85	Larto Lake (09/02)	0.53	75.69
36	Little Alabama Bayou $(02/02)$	0.96	87 57	86	Red River nr Marksville (09/02)	0.06	2.60
37	Black Bayou Lake nr Lamkin (03/02)	1.43	180.68	87	Upper Grand River (Outside Levee) (0.94	75.81
38	Lake Lafourche nr Hebert $(03/02)$	1.14	105.52		09/02)		
39	Buffalo Cove (03/02)	0.94	64.48	88	Upper Grand River (09/02)	0.39	47.41
40	Bayou Gravenburg (03/02)	1.06	108.87	89	Boeuf Cocodrie Diversion Canal (09/02)	0.43	49.38
41	Clear Lake (Lake Edwards) (03/02)	0.54	153.27	90	Amite River @ Port Vincent (09/02)	0.36	55.70
42	Caney Lake nr Minden (Upper) (03/02)	0.95	232.53	91	Colyell Bay (09/02)	1.07	193.42
43	Caney Lake nr Minden (Lower) (03/02)	0.28	78.12	92	Warren Canal (09/02)	0.81	102.70
44	Caddo Lake WSW of Oil City (04/02)	1.18	165.80	93	North Prong Schooner Bayou (9/02)	0.74	54.66
45	Grand Bayou Reservoir (04/02)	1.59	82.05	94	Bayou Queue de Tortue (10/02)	0.89	67.29
46	ICWW nr Bourg (04/02)	0.86	112.72	95	Cheniere Brake (10/02)	1.64	205.86
47	Union Oil Canal System (04/02)	2.13	216.08	96	Bussey Brake (10/02)	0.08	29.23
48	Petite Lac Des Allemands (04/02)	0.97	194.22	97	Bayou Bonne Idee nr Horseshoe Lake	0.67	125.15
49	West Fork Calcasieu River (04/02)	1.83	173.28	0.0	(10/02)	1	0.5 < 0.5
50	Calcasieu River @ Moss Bluff (4/02)	1.67	106.80	98	Turkey Creek Lake (10/02)	1.01	256.37
51	Toledo Bend nr Hunter (05/02)	0.21	58.91	99 100	Catanoula Lake $(10/02)$	0.76	151.31
52	Black Lake nr Natchitoches (05/02)	8.49	152.61	100	Bayou Bristow ($10/02$)	0.6/	59.94
53	Bayou Lacombe N of Lacombe (05/02)	1.06	142.83	101	Caney Lake in Minden (Opper) (10/02)	1.07	139.03

	Table 1.	(Continued)
--	----------	-------------

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	μg/kg	$\mu g/kg$	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	μg/kg
102	Caney Lake nr Minden (Lower) (10/02)	0.17	25.57	152	Anacoco Lake (04/03)	1.64	116.06
103	Ivan Lake (10/02)	0.92	113.26	153	Ponchatoula Creek (05/03)	0.13	14.24
104	Black Lake @Hosston (10/02)	0.36	92.20	154	Cocodrie Lake (Evangeline Parish)	2.82	132.15
105	Lake Fausse Pointe(11/02)	1.01	105.03		(05/03)		
106	Eunice City Park Lake (11/02)	0.45	59.86	155	Long Bayou (Concordia Parish) (05/03)	0.96	55.26
107	Henderson Lake (11/02)	1.88	140.37	156	Franklin Canal (05/03)	0.81	65.87
108	Horseshoe Lake SE of Mer Rouge	0.98	86.86	157	Borrow Pit NE of Melville (05/03)	0.26	23.14
	(11/02)			158	Bayou Lacassine nr Hayes (06/03)	1.49	68.44
109	Amite River nr Clio (11/02)	1.07	124.87	159	New Iberia Southern Drainage Canal	0.17	29.79
110	Lake Chicot (11/02)	1.43	141.28		(06/03)		
111	Calcasieu River @ Moss Bluff (12/02)	0.29	8.29	160	Bayou Plaquemine Brule (06/03)	0.51	67.44
112	West Fork Calcasieu River (12/02)	0.63	57.62	161	Grand Lake (West) (06/03)	0.69	123.01
113	Amite River Diversion Canal (12/02)	0.34	42.10	162	Bayou des Cannes- LOI (06/03)	0.47	56.73
114	Tangipahoa River (0033) (12/02)	0.00	4.41	163	7th Ward Canal (07/03)	0.46	44.98
115	Spanish Lake nr New Iberia (01/03)	1.05	87.17	164	Saline Bayou (Catahoula Parish) (07/03)	0.84	81.49
116	Blind River (01/03)	0.75	63.27	165	Lake Maurepas at North Pass (07/03)	0.43	91.97
117	Lake Dauterive (01/03)	0.31	92.57	166	Lake Pontchartrain at Pass Manchac	0.62	44.59
118	Lake Fausse Pointe (01/03)	0.93	119.84		(07/03)		
119	Mermentau River (01/03)	0.77	124.22	167	Bayou LaBranche (07/03)	0.89	57.25
120	Bayou Plaquemine Brule-LOI (01/03)	1.18	154.04	168	Lake Pontchartrain at Bonne Carre	0.23	23.12
121	Vermilion River (Lafayette) (01/03)	1.27	76.66		(07/03)		
122	Vermillon River nr Abbeville (01/03)	0.98	88.30	169	Bayou Plaquemine (07/03)	0.84	82.16
123	Upper Grand River nr Cow Island	0.18	18.90	170	Bayou Grosse Tete (07/03)	1.05	91.16
	(01/03)			171	White Lake @ Schooner Bayou (07/03)	0.75	58.95
124	Lake Bartholomew (02/03)	0.92	72.48	172	Mermentau River S of Grand Lake	0.56	52.29
125	Bayou Macon Cutoff # $2(02/03)$	1.81	94.64	1.50	$(0^{7}/0^{3})$	0.00	2 52
126	Crew Lake (02/03)	0.35	52.95	173	Ouachita River nr Ark. State Line	0.00	3.72
127	Union Oil Canal System (02/03)	0.58	71.14	154	$(0^{7}/03)$	0.50	110.00
128	Minors Canal $(02/03)$	1.11	73.63	174	Philips Lake $(0//03)$	0.53	119.20
129	Lake Misere $(02/03)$	0.42	35.90	1/5	Boeut River nr Columbia $(0//03)$	0.46	24.01
130	Grand Lake nr Hackberry Point $(02/03)$	0.34	39.86	1/0	Lake Louis $(0//03)$	0.06	16.27
131	Lake vernon $(02/03)$	0.07	1/.01	1//	Boeul River nr Oak Grove (07/03)	0.05	0.83
132	Bundick Lake $(02/03)$	0.74	104.31	1/8	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Iurtle Bayou} (08/03) \\ \text{Parses Change and Angelia (08/02)} \end{array}$	0.93	106.96
133	Dubuisson Lake $(02/03)$	0.49	/4.09	1/9	Bayou Chene nr Amelia (08/03)	0.51	41.59
134	Bay wallace $(02/03)$ Bayan Black $(02/03)$	1.89	24.40	100	Bayou Teche III Franklin (08/03) Bayou Barthalamay ng Tujin Oaka	0.08	03.22
135	Clear Lake (Lake Edwards) (02/02)	1.20	24.40	101	(08/02)	0.15	15.56
127	Circan Lake (Lake Edwards) $(05/05)$	1.29	200.61	182	(00/03) Bayou Designed pr I DWE $(08/03)$	0.44	12 24
137	Cane River nr Melrose $(03/03)$	1.90	200.01	182	Clear Lake near Start $(08/03)$	0.44	42.24 88 30
130	Grand Bayou Reservoir (03/03)	2 38	65 24	184	Long Lake $(08/03)$	1.54	64 28
140	Bayou Rigolettes nr Lafitte $(03/03)$	0.89	68 25	185	Tanginahoa River nr Lee's Landing	1.20	56.04
141	Bayou Perot (03/03)	1 77	48.58	105	(08/03)	1.10	50.04
142	Bayou Penchant (03/03)	1.77	39 37	186	Natalbany River (08/03)	0.64	94 65
143	Bayou Macon Cutoff #3 (03/03)	1.05	55.93	187	Bogue Falava River (08/03)	0.04	9 41
144	Lake Bublow $(03/03)$	0.23	8 13	188	Bayou Postillion (08/03)	1.06	111 18
145	Spring Bayou (03/03)	0.23	40.62	189	Old River nr Pierre Part $(08/03)$	1.00	73 39
146	Bayou Lacombe N of Lacombe (04/03)	1 46	68.90	190	Big Fork Bayou (08/03)	4 75	239 70
147	Lake Pontchartrain nr Bayou Lacombe	0.18	11.93	191	Little Bayou Sorrel (08/03)	1.64	146.62
/	(04/03)	0.10		192	Six Mile Lake $(09/03)$	0.38	28.42
148	Bayou des Cannes-Sediment (04/03)	1.18	85.55	193	Big Alabama Bayou (09/03)	1.32	78.54
149	Hanson Canal (04/03)	8.23	338.42	194	Bayou des Cannes (09/03)	1.61	58.48
150	Orange Grove Oil Canals (04/03)	2.72	112.49	195	Bayou Plaquemine Brule (09/03)	1.26	48.50
151	Bayou Nezpique (04/03)	0.88	74.45	196	Bayou Long near Stephenville (09/03)	1.03	104.68

Table 1.	(Continued)
I GOIC II	continueu

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$
197	Bayou Petite Anse (09/03)	1.21	81.81	247	Calcasieu River nr Kinder (04/04)	0.04	10.47
198	Pat Bay (09/03)	1.04	57.44	248	Lake Boeuf (04/04)	0.49	102.80
199	Little River near Archie (09/03)	0.78	51.54	249	Bayou Petite Caillou (04/04)	0.07	49.72
200	Catahoula Lake Diversion Canal (09/03)	0.25	23.25	250	Boef Cocodrie Diversion Canal (04/04)	0.11	22.68
201	Sediment (Conway Bayou) (10/03)	1.45	104.41	251	City Park Lake - N.O. (04/04)	0.21	204.23
202	Old River (10/03)	0.65	92.83	252	Bayou Cocodrie (St. Landry Parish)	0.14	24.82
203	Bayou Rouge (10/03)	0.81	50.29		(04/04)		
204	Crew Boat Chute @ Attakapas WMA	0.60	28.41	253	Sibley Lake (04/04)	0.32	99.74
	(10/03)			254	Black Lake nr Natchitoches (04/04)	1.14	178.83
205	Old River nr Niblett Bluff (10/03)	0.08	3.43	255	Deer Lake (Atchafalaya Basin) (04/04)	0.32	79.48
206	Bayou Lacassine near Lake Arthur	0.38	95.97	256	Cabot Canal (Atchafalaya Basin) (04/04)	1.15	85.52
	(10/03)			257	Red River nr Alexandria (05/04)	0.21	20.19
207	Bayou L'Ourse (10/03)	1.66	85.07	258	Lake Pontchartrain @ Tchefuncte (05/04)	0.42	35.17
208	Kepler Lake (11/03)	1.62	121.56	259	Lake Pontchartrain nr S. Causeway	0.19	65.72
209	Lake Bisteneau (11/03)	1.72	189.73		(05/04)		
210	Corney Lake (11/03)	0.97	126.35	260	Warren Canal (05/04)	0.18	19.82
211	Catahoula Lake (11/03)	2.80	64.14	261	Clear Lake near Clarence (05/04)	0.11	14.48
212	Flat Lake (11/03)	0.44	46.89	262	Saline Lake nr Clarence (05/04)	0.81	140.12
213	Garden City Oilfield Canals (11/03)	0.67	52.97	263	Black Lake @Hosston (05/04)	0.60	78.43
214	Bayou Sale Oilfield Canals (11/03)	1.15	69.82	264	Bayou Dorcheat @ Hwy.2 (05/04)	2.17	202.76
215	Big Slough Lake (11/03)	0.68	101.39	265	White Lake @ Schooner Bayou (07/04)	0.39	84.90
216	Lake Lafourche (11/03)	0.20	10.42	266	Amite River nr Clio (07/04)	0.33	82.04
217	Lake Providence (11/03)	0.31	79.40	267	Lake Maurepas @ Amite River (07/04)	0.30	82.76
218	Bunch's Cutoff (11/03)	0.43	74.39	268	Blood River $(07/04)$	0.56	91.10
219	Bayou Dorcheat (11/03)	0.11	5.86	269	Lake Maurepas (a) Tickfaw River $(0//04)$	0.41	98.14
220	Lake Arthur $(12/03)$	0.77	79.16	270	Tchefuncte River @ Covington (0//04)	0.45	44.35
221	Lake Claiborne (12/03)	0.59	118.55	271	Tangipahoa River nr Robert (07/04)	0.03	3.39
222	Bayou Dorcheat (12/03)	0.10	15.94	272	Lake Palourde $(0//04)$	0.64	40.63
223	Cross Lake $(12/03)$	0.26	109.82	2/3	Lake verret $(08/04)$	0.94	60.95
224	Black Bayou Reservoir $(12/03)$	0.11	11.55	274	Bayou Teche (<i>a</i>) Patterson (08/04)	0.57	45.44
223	Bayou Rouge $(12/03)$	0.48	04.45	213	North Prong Schooner Bayou $(08/04)$	0.01	23.38
220	Bayou Bristow (Work Canal) $(01/04)$	0.19	20.83	270	Cow Island Lake (08/04) Device Moning acuin (Inside Leves) (08/04)	0.77	00.0/ 50.09
227	$H_{\text{current}} = \frac{P_{\text{current}}}{P_{\text{current}}} = P_{\text{curr$	0.27	80.48	211	Bayou Maringouin (Inside Levee) $(08/04)$	0.55	21.22
220	Dlind Diver on Lake Maxman as (01/04)	1.03	149.54	270	Laka Fayasa Dainta Cutoff $(08/04)$	0.23	21.33
229	Bind River fir Lake Maurepas $(01/04)$	0.18	41.//	219	Lake Fausse Follite Cutoli $(06/04)$	0.10	54.01
230	Datita A mita Divar (01/04)	0.50	125.45	200	Ouachita Piver nr Ionesville (08/04)	0.34	22.06
231	Mean Lake $(02/04)$	0.39	72 51	201	Cataboula Lake (LaSalle Parish) (08/04)	0.20	23.90
232	Little Atchafalava River $(02/04)$	0.20	12.31	282	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	0.28	5 35
235	Latt Lake $(03/04)$	0.55	87.03	283	Bayou Bonne Idee (08/04)	0.00	32.88
235	Lake Penchant $(03/04)$	0.20	97 77	285	Bayou Bartholomew nr Sterlington	0.23	2.00
235	Wallace I ake (Cataboula Parish) (03/04)	0.29	64 25	205	(08/04)	0.00	2.57
237	Tew I ake $(03/04)$	0.25	46 49	286	Rig Creek (08/04)	0.76	10 71
238	Amite River nr Baton Rouge (03/04)	0.50	10.12	287	Boeuf River (08/04)	0.54	26.32
239	Black Bayou Lake nr Lamkin (03/04)	0.83	154.14	288	Turkey Creek Lake (08/04)	1.18	127.07
240	Povery Point Lake (03/04)	0.35	40.05	289	Bayou Louis (08/04)	0.78	35.98
241	Chatham Lake $(03/04)$	1.01	198.78	290	Red River nr Alexandria (08/04)	0.04	2.28
242	Canev Creek Lake (03/04)	1.69	69.36	291	Cross Bayou (Catahoula Parish) (09/04)	0.46	53.10
243	Lake Bruin (03/04)	0.07	8.10	292	Henderson Lake (09/04)	1.53	170.82
244	Mermentau River (03/04)	0.23	68.40	293	Bayou Liberty (09/04)	0.10	151.28
245	Minor's Canal @ Lake Hatch (03/04)	0.51	70.50	294	Bayou Bonfuoca (09/04)	0.35	68.40
246	West Fork Calcasieu River nr De Ouincv	0.24	40.34	295	Pearl River nr Slidell (09/04)	0.18	19.94
-	(03/04)			296	Bogue Chitto River nr Sun (09/04)	0.03	45.98
					(Continu	ad an ma	

(Continued on next page)

Table 1. (Continued)	Table 1.	(Continued)
----------------------	----------	-------------

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	μg/kg	$\mu g/kg$	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$
297	Tow O'Clock Bayou (09/04)	0.71	186.26	345	Beckwith Creek (03/05)	0.09	21.87
298	Bayou Petite Prairie (09/04)	0.88	109.16	346	Morengo Lake (03/05)	0.20	51.26
299	Atchafalaya River nr. Simmersport	0.12	31.95	347	Hilliard's Coupe (03/05)	0.00	59.27
	(09/04)			348	Miller's Lake (03/05)	0.21	18.85
300	Calcasieu River @ Hwy. 190 (09/04)	0.16	6.29	349	Bayou Choctaw nr I-10 (03/05)	0.35	42.78
301	Dobb's Bay (10/04)	0.30	43.52	350	Bayou Toro (03/05)	0.09	3.51
302	Lower Sunk Lake (10/04)	0.60	37.86	351	Toledo Bend nr San Patrice (03/05)	0.45	58.08
303	Lake Chicot Oilfield Canals (10/04)	0.48	39.22	352	Black Bayou Lake (Red River Parish)	0.15	2.22
304	Bayou Bristow (Work Canal) (10/04)	0.43	24.82		(03/05)		
305	West Lake Verret Oilfield Canals (10/04)	0.65	35.67	353	Saline Bayou (03/05)	0.03	2.30
306	Big Bayou Pigeon (10/04)	0.27	62.09	354	Grand Bayou Reservoir (03/05)	0.10	50.85
307	Crooked Creek Reservoir (10/04)	0.36	31.95	355	Black Lake nr Denson (04/05)	0.81	113.60
308	Bayou Desiard nr Frenchman's Bend	0.82	178.60	356	Lake Maurepas@Pass Manchac (04/05)	0.84	58.92
	(10/04)			357	Little Tensas Bayou (04/05)	0.44	31.11
309	Bayou D'Loutre SW of Sterlington	0.38	12.19	358	Wax Lake Outlet (04/05)	0.17	25.45
	(10/04)			359	Bayou Courtableau (04/05)	0.59	42.57
310	Eagle Lake (10/04)	0.31	47.01	360	Little River nr Marksville (04/05)	0.40	53.63
311	Lake Chotard (10/04)	0.44	42.05	361	Sutton Lake (04/05)	0.42	56.66
312	Bayou Macon Cutoff #1 (10/04)	0.33	42.19	362	Lake Dogwood (04/05)	0.17	43.86
313	Bayou Gravenburg (11/04)	0.32	27.13	363	Caddo Lake nr HWY1 (04/05)	0.28	88.22
314	Flase River (11/04)	0.17	10.33	364	Caddo Lake nr HWY2 (04/05)	0.81	188.41
315	Lake Bistineau (11/04)	0.29	12.56	365	Bayou Chene (05/05)	0.44	47.62
316	Lake Bistineau (Upper Lake) (11/04)	0.71	167.83	366	Henderson Lake (05/05)	0.66	109.74
317	Caney Lake nr Minden (Upper) (11/04)	0.50	28.14	367	Bayou Rouge (05/05)	0.75	44.78
318	Caney Lake nr Minden (Lower) (11/04)	0.67	61.42	368	Cocodrie Lake (05/05)	0.45	102.49
319	Wallace Lake (11/04)	0.42	119.23	369	Lake Dubuisson (07/05)	0.22	45.43
320	Cotile Lake (11/04)	0.62	96.03	370	Bayou Lacassine nr Hayes (07/05)	0.80	74.24
321	Kincaid Reservoir (11/04)	0.37	52.00	371	Lake Misere (07/05)	1.00	76.77
322	Indian Creek Reservoir (12/04)	0.43	47.29	372	Little Bayou Sorrel (07/05)	0.28	67.18
323	Lake Chicot (12/04)	0.79	85.59	373	Corney Lake (07/05)	0.57	184.39
324	Blind River (12/04)	0.67	63.49	374	Bayou Dorcheat nr Sarepta (07/05)	1.20	112.74
325	Lac Des Allemands (12/04)	0.32	46.61	375	Lake Bisteneau W of Ringold (07/05)	0.36	42.75
326	Lake Boudreaux (12/04)	0.95	120.07	376	Clear Lake (Lake Edwards) (07/05)	0.11	36.20
327	Bayou Cocodrie (Lake Hackberry) (01/05)	1.53	188.71	377	Sediment (Miss. River @ St. Francisville) (07/05)	0.14	2.53
328	Bayou Copasaw (01/05)	1.70	179.83	378	Sediment (Bayou Plaquemine Brule)	0.24	49.38
329	Bayou Chene (01/05)	0.89	98.41		(07/05)		
330	Spanish Lake nr Baton Rouge (01/05)	1.22	260.95	379	Sediment (Bayou Des Cannes) (07/05)	0.21	33.93
331	Bayou Queue de Tortue @ Hwy 13	0.07	32.10	380	Blind River nr Maurepas (08/05)	0.22	69.62
	(01/05)			381	Lake Maurepas nr Blind River (08/05)	0.24	79.44
332	Lake Martin (02/05)	0.52	102.10	382	Bayou Nezpique nr Hathaway (08/05)	0.80	84.97
333	Saline River nr Clarence (02/05)	0.28	41.72	383	Bayou Grosse Tete (08/05)	0.44	67.03
334	Black Lake Bayou nr Clarence (02/05)	0.11	20.92	384	I-10 Canal (08/05)	0.14	55.45
335	Bayou Pierre (02/05)	0.04	32.73	385	Bayou Benoit (08/05)	0.00	57.84
336	Poverty Point Lake (02/05)	0.44	69.62	386	Tickfaw River nr Hwy 22 (08/05)	0.10	80.14
337	Bayou Macon (02/05)	0.40	41.87	387	Old River nr Niblett Bluff (08/05)	0.00	8.42
338	Cheniere Brake Lake (02/05)	0.81	211.32	388	Big Alabama Bayou (08/05)	0.48	34.12
339	Bayou D'Arbonne Lake nr Dam (02/05)	1.51	88.16	389	Little Alabama Bayou (08/05)	0.31	48.56
340	Lake Rodemacher (02/05)	0.11	52.24	390	Old River nr Deer Park (08/05)	1.04	69.40
341	Bayou Choctaw nr Indian Village (03/05)	0.44	73.97	391	Lake Dauterive (08/05)	0.13	138.82
342	Lake St. John (03/05)	0.08	27.44	392	7th Ward Canal (09/05)	0.00	58.39
343	Sabine National Wildlife Refuge Canals	0.06	30.75	393	Bayou Teche @ New Iberia (09/05)	0.69	97.84
	(03/05)			394	Kepler Lake (09/05)	0.15	15.59
344	Choupique Bayou (03/05)	0.54	52.93	395	Bayou Bodcau nr Springhill (09/05)	0.66	23.92

Table 1.	(Continued)
Table 1.	(Commund)

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$
306	Red River nr Shrevenort $(00/05)$	0.07	3 / 8	116	Sabine River nr I 10 (05/06)	0.04	64 60
397	Rig Creek N of Marksville (09/05)	0.07	59.40	440	Red River nr Natchitoches (05/06)	0.04	17 72
398	Grand Lake (Fast) $(09/05)$	0.00	49.87	448	Sabine River nr Merryville (05/06)	0.17	17.72
399	Lake Louis (Lovelace Lake) $(10/05)$	0.55	62.09	449	Bayou Francis nr Sorrento (05/06)	0.02	140 79
400	Boenf River nr Columbia (10/05)	0.13	4 78	450	Red River @ Brouillette (06/06)	0.03	11 14
401	Bayou Bartholoemew (10/05)	0.19	19.51	451	Old River nr Vidalia (06/06)	0.05	164.90
402	Philips Lake (10/05)	0.19	21 23	452	Mississippi River nr Vidalia (06/06)	0.02	21 40
403	Little River nr Hwy 165 $(10/05)$	0.05	8 46	453	Red River nr RRWMA (06/06)	0.01	25.15
404	Grand Lake (West) (10/05)	0.03	25.64	454	Little River @ Walkers Ferry (06/06)	0.03	13 28
405	Big Fork Bayou (11/05)	1.00	77 72	455	Avoca Island Cutoff (06/06)	0.25	69.49
406	Bayou L'Ourse (11/05)	1.00	70.84	456	Lake St. Joseph (06/06)	1.32	58.02
407	Little Bayou Pigeon (11/05)	0.24	54 81	457	Yucatan Lake $(06/06)$	0.00	8 86
408	Tew Lake $(11/05)$	0.57	44.39	458	Tangipahoa River nr Lees Landing	0.24	44.82
409	Lake Peigneur (11/05)	0.68	54.75		(06/06)	0.2 .	
410	Miller's Chute (12/05)	0.19	23.92	459	Amite River @ Port Vincent (06/06)	0.08	46.58
411	Bayou Amy $(12/05)$	0.72	64.18	460	Lake Fields $(07/06)$	0.71	144.89
412	Anacoco Lake (12/05)	0.29	77.63	461	Bayou Lafourche nr Lockport (07/06)	0.99	299.14
413	Lake Vernon (12/05)	0.12	54.08	462	Henderson Lake (07/06)	1.74	226.63
414	Saline Lake nr Clarence (12/05)	2.45	161.67	463	Bayou Clear nr Woodworth (07/06)	0.27	39.49
415	Saline Bayou (12/05)	0.28	9.52	464	Bayou Boeuf nr Woodworth (07/06)	0.68	55.26
416	Minor's Canal (12/05)	0.68	23.56	465	Bayou Sorrel (07/06)	0.20	46.93
417	Catfish Bayou (01/06)	0.62	71.33	466	Murphy Lake (07/06)	0.23	42.66
418	Bayou Des Glaises Diversion Canal (01/06)	0.68	16.20	467	Upper Grand River (Outside Levee) (07/06)	2.59	43.96
419	Smith Bay (02/06)	0.27	9.64	468	Upper Grand River (07/06)	0.26	35.20
420	Old River nr Marksville (02/06)	0.22	45.86	469	Bayou Cowan (07/06)	0.61	168.00
421	Iatt Lake (02/06)	0.39	28.23	470	Little River nr Bodie's Landing (07/06)	0.03	10.70
422	Mystic Crew Bayou (02/06)	0.65	44.40	471	Big Saline Bayou (07/06)	0.34	53.22
423	Lake Cataouatche (02/06)	0.68	91.03	472	Wild Cow Bayou (08/06)	0.22	56.86
424	Bayou Segnette (02/06)	0.87	53.94	473	Conway Bayou (08/06)	0.35	77.98
425	The Pen (02/06)	0.68	39.65	474	Grassy Lake (08/06)	0.81	84.62
426	Lake Salvador (East) (02/06)	0.10	41.89	475	Bayou Black (08/06)	0.33	67.83
427	Lake Salvador (Upper Mid Lake) (02/06)	0.65	51.84	476	Black Bayou (Cameron) (08/06)	0.04	19.54
428	University Lake (BR) (03/06)	0.21	128.35	477	Tensas River nr Jonesville (08/06)	0.18	50.80
429	Lake Salvador (West) (03/06)	1.01	167.12	478	Bogue Chitto River (08/06)	0.11	15.06
430	Black Lake nr Natchitoches (03/06)	0.49	143.15	479	English Bayou (08/06)	0.58	137.58
431	Lake Decade (03/06)	0.52	62.42	480	Pearl River nr Bogalusa (08/06)	0.03	6.92
432	Houma Navigation Canal (03/06)	0.60	92.15	481	Bayou Queue de Tortue (09/06)	0.23	26.13
433	Fohs Canal (03/06)	0.60	96.04	482	Bogue Falaya River (09/06)	0.19	22.97
434	Black River S of Jonesville Locks (03/06)	0.04	14.05	483	Tensas River nr Cooter Point (09/06)	0.45	45.34
435	Bayou Grand Caillou nr Dulac (03/06)	0.69	93.52	484	Ponchatoula Creek (09/06)	0.49	52.40
436	Caernarvon Canal (04/06)	3.26	177.79	485	Lake Concordia (09/06)	0.55	21.80
437	Bayou Macon nr Wisner (04/06)	0.11	35.03	486	Smithport Lake (09/06)	0.39	32.75
438	Saddletree Lake (04/06)	0.38	89.41	487	ICWW nr Bourg (09/06)	0.37	40.80
439	Black River Lake (04/06)	0.35	54.41	488	Buffalo Cove (10/06)	0.63	29.97
440	City Park Lake (BR) (04/06)	0.53	205.02	489	Bayou Lacassine nr Hwy 14 (10/06)	0.59	60.69
441	Cocodrie Lake (Concordia Parish)	0.12	143.64	490	Toledo Bend nr Logansport (10/06)	0.04	7.14
	(04/06)	0.5		491	Chicot Lake (10/06)	0.57	119.61
442	Cocodrie Bayou nr Monterey (04/06)	0.26	112.68	492	Amite River nr Baton Rouge $(10/06)$	0.41	3.50
443	Vermilion Bay (West) (04/06)	0.29	42.26	493	Ouachita River @ Columbia (10/06)	0.31	32.69
444	Ouachita River nr Harrisonburg (04/06)	0.06	15.38	494	Bayou Bonne Idee nr Horseshoe Lake	< 0.05	92.28
445	Intracoastal Waterway S of Avery Island (05/06)	0.37	42.19		(03/07) (Cont	inued on ne	ext page)

(Continued on next page)

Table 1. (Continued)

	Sample location	MeHg	THg		Sample location	MeHg	THg
#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$	#	and date (mm/yy)	$\mu g/kg$	$\mu g/kg$
495	Horseshoe Lake nr Mer Rouge (03/07)	0.14	197.78	521	Lake Bistineau W of Ringold (07/07)	1.53	246.14
496	Bayou D'Arbonne (03/07)	0.09	71.40	522	Caney Lake nr Minden (Lower) (07/07)	0.32	367.68
497	Bayou Lafourche nr Columbia (03/07)	< 0.05	62.17	523	Cross Lake @ Shreveport (07/07)	< 0.05	24.27
498	Grand Bayou nr Pierre Part (03/07)	0.60	192.16	524	Pat Bay (07/07)	0.21	205.88
499	Chopin Chute nr Pierre Part (03/07)	0.11	58.93	525	Old River nr Pierre Part (07/07)	0.30	74.43
500	Vermilion Bay (East) (03/07)	0.63	338.64	526	Bayou Sale Oilfield Canals (07/07)	0.38	244.85
501	Weeks Bayou (03/07)	0.77	510.23	527	Atchafalaya River nr Melville (07/07)	0.08	27.70
502	Lake Killarney (04/07)	0.49	548.06	528	Vermilion River nr Lafayette (08/07)	1.22	108.14
503	Bayou Bartholomew nr Hwy 425 (04/07)	< 0.05	67.08	529	Bayou Postillion (08/07)	0.75	95.70
504	Bayou de Loutre nr de Loutre (04/07)	0.58	141.81	530	Clear Lake nr Start (08/07)	0.43	899.76
505	Grand Bayou Reservoir (04/07)	0.47	111.97	531	Crew Lake (08/07)	0.61	625.14
506	Mill Creek Reservoir (04/07)	0.22	63.94	532	Bay Wallace (08/07)	0.36	609.88
507	ICWW W of Bowman Locks (04/07)	0.07	146.46	533	Bayou Chene nr Amelia (08/07)	1.01	501.47
508	Gulf of Mexico (T-Butte) (05/07)	0.03	91.17	534	Mississippi River nr Baton Rouge (08/07)	< 0.05	12.58
509	East Cote Blanche Bay (05/07)	0.32	186.58	535	Little Bayou Long (08/07)	0.19	475.11
510	ICWW nr Belle Chasse (05/07)	0.06	168.64	536	Union Oil Canal System (08/07)	0.22	367.76
511	Harvery Canal (05/07)	0.13	422.17	537	Orange Grove Canals (08/07)	0.44	509.60
512	Red River nr Coushatta (05/07)	0.17	76.38	538	Bundick Lake (09/07)	0.12	96.59
513	Old River nr Bivens (05/07)	0.20	101.10	539	Long Lake SE of Columbia (09/07)	0.19	101.19
514	Henderson Lake (05/07)	0.31	38.83	540	Lake Bartholomew (09/07)	0.20	233.54
515	Bayou Bristow (Work Canal)	0.97	138.85	541	Ouachita River nr Riverton (09/07)	< 0.05	63.60
516	Ouachita River nr Jonesville (06/07)	0.19	95.94	542	Blind River (09/07)	1.37	424.44
517	Little River nr Jonesville (06/07)	0.36	320.11	543	Crew Boat Chute @ Attakapas (09/07)	0.32	113.42
518	I-10 Canal (East Atchafalaya Basin)	0.50	278.21		Average	0.68	92.30
	(06/07)				Stdev	0.80	95.10
519	Bayou Petite Prairie (06/07)	1.33	199.28		Min	0.00	0.70
520	Big Alabama Bayou (07/07)	0.33	349.74		Max	8.49	899.76

(Tables 1, 2). Methyl Hg accounted for an average 0.73% of the total Hg in sediment.

DeLaune et al.^[10] in recent studies determined total Hg and methyl Hg at 292 sites along a salinity gradient in a coastal Louisiana estuary (Pontchartrain Basin) and reported the average total Hg level decreased with in-

Table 2. Average concentrations of methyl Hg and total Hg and various parameters in sediment samples of Louisiana water bodies (N = 543).

	Average	Stdev
$\overline{\text{MeHg}(\mu\text{g kg}^{-1})}$	0.68	0.8
THg (μ g kg ⁻¹)	92.3	95.1
P (ppm)	446.2	420.8
K (ppm)	1983.0	2342.7
Ca (ppm)	4079.1	8420.6
Mg (ppm)	2549.9	2700.4
Na (ppm)	363.1	704.0
S (ppm)	952.7	1889.4
pH	6.3	0.8
Eh (mV)	-4.3	159.2
O.M (%)	4.0	3.1
Sand (%)	30.8	29.6
Silt (%)	34.3	18.9
Clay (%)	34.9	19.8

creasing salinity. Lake Maurepas, Lake Pontchartrain and Lake Borgne/Chandeleur Sound sediment contained 98 μ g kg⁻¹, 67 μ g kg⁻¹, and 24 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively. The average total Hg content in our study which represented mostly interior Louisiana freshwater streams and water bodies by comparison was 92.3 μ g kg⁻¹, which is similar to values for Lake Maurepas which is located in the upper reach of the Pontchartrain estuary.

In the Pontchartrain Basin study,^[10] average methyl Hg values for Lake Maurepas, Lake Pontchartrain and Lake Borgne/Chandeleur Sound sediment was 0.80 μ g kg⁻¹, 0.55 μ g kg⁻¹ and 0.21 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively. By comparison we reported average methyl Hg content over 0.68 μ g kg⁻¹ in this study. Again the levels are more closely associated with the more freshwater sites (Lake Maurepas) reported for the northern portion of the Pontchartrain estuary.^[10] Huggett et al.^[11] in a study of north Mississippi lakes

Huggett et al.^[11] in a study of north Mississippi lakes reported total mean Hg concentration in sediment from Enid Lake in 1997 was 154 μ g kg⁻¹ while in 1998 sediment concentration in Sardis, Enid and Grenola Lakes was 112, 88 and 133 μ g kg⁻¹ respectively. Rood et al.^[12] reported the sediment concentration of total Hg in the Florida Everglades was 120 μ g kg⁻¹.

In a comparable study of 579 sediment samples from various water bodies across northeast United States^[13],

total Hg in surface sediment ranged from <0.01 to 3700 μ g kg⁻¹, and the overall average concentration was 190 μ g kg⁻¹. Methyl Hg ranged from 0.015 to 2.1 μ g kg⁻¹, and the mean concentration was 0.38 μ g kg⁻¹.

The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration^[14] and Environment Canada^[15] provide sediment quality guidelines for freshwater sediment. For total Hg, the values corresponding to these guidelines are 174, 486, and 560 μ g kg⁻¹, respectively for threshold effects level (TEL), probable effects level (PEL) and upper effects threshold (UET). With each increasing effects level, toxicity to benthic aquatic organisms is increasingly likely.

In our study, evaluating the data set with the guidelines indicated that total Hg concentration in 87.5% of samples were below the threshold effects level, 11.2% of the sediments had concentrations between the threshold and probable effects level, and 1.3% of the sediment samples were in excess of the probable effects level. Only 0.55%, one sample, was above the upper effects threshold of 560 μ g kg⁻¹.

The above percentage values for the threshold level were less than reported by Kamman et al.^[13] for water bodies in the northeast United States which reported 55% of samples were below the threshold effects, 43% were between the threshold and probable effects level, and 2% exceeded the probable effects level.

In our study, methyl Hg content of the sediment sample was significantly correlated to total Hg content ($r = 0.331^{**}$), organic matter content ($r = 0.178^{**}$), and clay content ($r = 0.167^{**}$). Methyl Hg was inversely correlated with sand content ($r = -0.172^{**}$) and pH ($r = -0.132^{**}$) (Table 3).

Total Hg content of sediment was significantly correlated with methyl Hg ($r = 0.331^{**}$), organic matter content ($r = 0.536^{**}$), clay ($r = 0.154^{**}$), and silt ($r = 0.159^{**}$) content of sediment. Total Hg was inversely correlated with sand

Table 3. Relationship between various soil parameter with methyl Hg and total Hg in sediment samples (n = 543).

Parameter	MeHg	THg
MeHg		0.331**
THg	0.331**	
OM	0.178**	0.536**
Sand	-0.172^{**}	-0.205^{**}
Silt	0.093*	0.159**
Clay	0.167**	0.154**
pH	-0.132**	-0.149^{**}
Êh	-0.085^{*}	-0.155^{**}
Р	0.044	0.204**
K	-0.197^{**}	0.127**
Ca	-0.050	0.019
Mg	-0.171^{**}	0.100*
Na	-0.027	0.054
S	-0.050	0.294**

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed test).

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed test).

content of sediment ($r = -0.205^{**}$), pH ($r = -0.149^{*}$) and Eh ($r = -0.155^{**}$) (Table 3).

By comparison, in a study of total Hg and methyl Hg along a salinity gradient in the Louisiana Pontchartrain basin estuary, methyl Hg content of sediment was significantly correlated to total Hg ($r = 0.648^{**}$), organic matter content ($r = 0.349^{**}$) and inversely correlated to salinity ($r = -0.427^{**}$).^[10] Methyl Hg was also high in sediment with high clay and silt content, but inversely related to sand content.

Kannan et al.^[16] in a study of total Hg and methyl Hg in water, sediment, and fish from South Florida estuaries reported methyl Hg concentrations were not correlated with total Hg or organic carbon content in sediments. Kamman et al.^[13] in a study of freshwater sediment of water bodies in the Northeast United States reported that methyl Hg was weakly and positively correlated to wetland area and weakly and negatively correlated to drainage area.

In our study we did not examine wetland area or drainage area. Evaluation of the total Hg and methyl Hg data presented in this paper could perhaps be expanded to look at the relationship of drainage area/wetland area and location in relation to potential Hg sources such as power generation facilities in the state.

Sediment levels and fish-eating advisories

The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality has identified all or designated parts of 40 particular water bodies or systems for which it has issued "Fish Consumption Advisories Caused by Mercury Contamination" for the entire state. This information is given in their web site (http:// www.deq.louisiana.gov/portal/tabid/287/Default.aspx) under Mercury Risk Reduction Plan. These include specific lakes, reservoirs, rivers, river drainage basins, bayous, and canals. Though the particular fish species for which the advisories apply varies from water body to water body, more than a dozen fish species are mentioned individually for the 40 water bodies, with bowfin, large mouth bass, and freshwater drum appearing most frequently in the advisories. These advisories are set up for two segments of the population: (1) women of child bearing age and children under 7 years of age, and, (2) other adults and children over the age of 7. By particular types of fish, the advisories recommend the times a month a person can eat fish from these water bodies including the frequencies 0, 1, 2, 4 times, and no restrictions, depending on the water body and the type of fish. For all 40 water body advisories, the date of advisory issue or revision ranged from 29 May 2003 to 8 March 2006.

A comparison was made to determine if there is a relationship between water bodies with fishing advisories and total Hg and methyl Hg in sediments reported in this paper, both sets of data covering the entire state. Table 1 includes levels of total Hg and methyl Hg in 543 sediment samples collected by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality between October, 2001 and September, 2007. Some

Table 4. Mean and standard deviation of total Hg and methyl Hg content in selected sediment samples from fish-eating advisory and non-fish-eating advisory water bodies (>40% of 543 sediment samples included).

Advisory water bodies		Non-advisory water bodies	
Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment
Total Hg	Methyl Hg	Total Hg	Methyl Hg
$(\mu g kg^{-1})$	$(\mu g kg^{-1})$	(µg kg ⁻¹)	(µg kg ⁻¹)
76 (55)	0.76 (0.85)	98 (70)	0.79 (0.75)

of the 543 sediment samples represent single samples of a site collected during this effort while many sites were sampled multiple times during this period and reported here as the same water body sampled at different dates.

In time sequence of sampling (starting with sediment sample #1 in Table 1), the total Hg and methyl Hg content reported from the sediment sampling sites was put in 1 of 2 data sets, one being sediment samples not associated with water bodies having fish-eating advisories, and the other set including sediment samples associated with fish-eating advisory bodies of water (Table 4). Where a particular sediment sampling site was sampled multiple times during the course of the sampling, data from the entire sampling period were included. Thus some water body sediment sampling sites are represented only once in each data set and others several times. Just over 40% of the 543 sediment sampling sites were included in the advisory data set and no fish-eating advisory data set, thus we feel for this preliminary effort a representative sampling of the sediment Hg data was obtained for making a comparison.

Also in this preliminary study, for the fish species reported most frequently in the advisories (bowfin, largemouth bass, and freshwater drum) for each sediment sampling site, we ranked the frequency of monthly fish eating restrictions to observe possible trends between sediment Hg concentrations and the level of eating restrictions.

In our evaluation of the sediment Hg levels and fish eating advisories, we observed no trends between the two. In the just over 40% of sediment samples included in the data set by the selection process mentioned above, there was no significant difference in sediment Hg levels divided among advisory and non-advisory water bodies.

While there may not be a correlation between sediment levels of Hg and Hg content in fish for sediments containing near background levels of Hg as these sediments apparently do, it is also likely that many sources of variability would make it difficult to find significant correlations should they exist. Sources of variability in sampling fish would include particular sites of water bodies sampled, possible differences in age of fish, time of sampling, and possibly other factors. Sources of variability in reported levels of total Hg and methyl Hg in sediments and the degree to which they are representative of the water body would also include how well particular sampling sites represent the sediment conditions of a water body, time of sampling, and other factors. Thus while the fish-eating advisories are important from a human health perspective based on best sampling and analysis methods available, correlating the advisory water bodies to their total Hg and methyl Hg content is expected to be a difficult task for water bodies with near background levels of Hg.

Conclusion

This study of total Hg and methyl Hg in sediment of Louisiana water bodies showed concentration in most samples were below reported threshold level where toxicity to benthic organisms would occur. The average total Hg content was $92.3 \pm 95.1 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$ and the average methyl Hg content in the 543 sediment samples was $0.68 \pm 0.80 \ \mu g \ kg^{-1}$. These concentrations suggested no significant anthropogenic contamination source. A strong correlation of total Hg and methyl Hg to sediment properties was observed. Even though there are many water bodies in the fish eating advisories where there are data on total Hg and methyl Hg levels in sediment, there was no statistical difference in total Hg and methyl Hg in sediments from these water bodies as compared to other locations with no fish consumption advisories.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality. We also thanks various students for the analytical support provided during various phases of the study.

References

- Gilmour, C.C.; Henry, E.A.; Mitchell, R. Sulfate stimulation of mercury methylation in freshwater sediment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1992, 26, 2281–2287.
- [2] United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Test method for evaluating solid waster. Laboratory manual physical/chemical methods SW 846, Vol. IA; USEPA: Washington, DC, 1995.
- [3] Davies, B.E. Loss-on ignition as an estimate of soil organic matter. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 1974, 38, 150–152.
- [4] Patrick, W.H. Jr. Modification of method of particle size analysis. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 1958, 22, 366–367.
- [5] Devai, I.; DeLaune, R.D.; Patrick, W.H. Jr.; Gambrell, R.P. Changes in methyl mercury concentration during storage: effect of temperature. Organ. Geochem. 2001, 32, 755–758.
- [6] Alli, A.; Jaffe, R.; Jones, R. Analysis of organomercury compounds in sediments by capillary GC with atomic fluorescence detection. J. High Res. Chromatogr. 1994, 17, 745–748.
- [7] Cai, Y.R.; Jaffe, R.; Alli, A.; Jones, R. Determination of organomercury compounds in aqueous samples by capillary gas chromatography–atomic fluorescence spectrometry following solid phase extraction. Anal. Chim. Acta. **1996**, *334*, 251–259.

- [8] Cai, Y.R.; Jaffe, R.; Jones, R. Ethylmercury in the soils and sediments of the Florida Everglades. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1997a, 31, 302–305.
- [9] Cai, Y.R.; Tang, G.; Jaffe, R.; Jones, R. Evaluation of some isolation methods for organomercury determination in soil and fish samples by capillary gas chromatograph—Atomic fluorescence spectrometry. Inter. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 1997b, 68, 331– 345.
- [10] DeLaune, R.D.; Gambrell, R.P.; Jugsujinda, A.; Devai, I.; Hou, A. Total, methyl and other toxic heavy metals in a Northern Gulf of Mexico estuary: Louisiana Pontchartrain basin. J. Environ Sci. Health, Pt. A 2008, 43(9), 1006–1015.
- [11] Huggett, D.B.; Stevens, J.A.; Allgood, J.C.; Lutken, C.B.; Grace, C.A.; Benson, W.H. Mercury in sediment and fish from North Mississippi lakes. Chemosphere 2001, 42, 923–929.
- [12] Rood, B.E.; Gottgens, J.F.; Delino, J.J.; Earle, C.D.; Crisman, T.L. Mercury accumulation trends in Florida everglades and sa-

vana marsh flooded soils. Water Air, Soil Poll. 1995, 80, 981-990.

- [13] Kamman, N.C.; Chalmers, A.; Clair, T.A.; Major, A.; Moore, R.B.; Norton, S.A.; Shanley J.B. Factors influencing mercury in freshwater surface sediment of Northeastern North America. Ecotoxicology 2005, 14, 101–111.
- [14] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Screening Quick Reference Tables. NOAA: Washington, DC, 1999. http://response.restoration.noaa.gov/cpr/sediment/squirt.html.
- [15] Environment Canada. Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines. Soil and Sediment Quality Section, Guidelines Division, Environment Canada; Ecosystem Conservation Directorate, Evaluation and Interpretation Branch; Ottawa, Ontario, 1995.
- [16] Kannan, K.; Smith, R.G.Jr.; Lee, R.F.; Windom, H.L.; Heitmuller, P.T.; Macauley, J.M.; Summers, J.K. Distribution of total and methyl in water, sediment, and fish from South Florida estuaries. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. **1998**, *34*, 109–118.