# Inter-annual variation in hydroperiod affects periphyton standing crop and the associated macroinvertebrate community in the Everglades Eric R. Sokol\*, J. Matthew Hoch, and Joel C. Trexler \*Contact: ersokol@fiu.edu

### Introduction

Aquatic macroinvertebrate community composition and periphyton standing crop are measures that indicate how the basal portion of the food web in the Everglades ecosystem responds to inter-annual variation in hydroperiod (Liston and Trexler 2005). We monitored periphyton standing crop and the associated macroinvertebrate (infaunal) community during the 2004 – 2008 water years at shorthydroperiod sites in the eastern Everglades, which are influenced by the S332 B and D impoundments, and long-hydroperiod sites in Shark River Slough (SRS).

## **Objective**

Assess how inter-annual variation in hydroperiod affected the difference between the macroinvertebrate community at short and long hydroperiod sites in Everglades National Park.



Figure 1. Sampling locations in Everglades National Park (ENP)

#### **Methods**

- Periphyton cores collected twice while sites were inundated (Liston and Trexler 2005) and preserved with 70% EtOH.
- Replicate samples collected within 10 m of permanent sampling location in SRS
- Samples collected ~0 m, 250 m, 500 m from park boundary at S332 sites
- Water depths measured at each site on each sampling date, used to calibrate EDEN depth estimates.
- Infaunal macroinvertebrates identified and counted in lab
- Periphyton ash-free dry mass (AFDM) quantified in lab

Water depths ENP







# infaunal crowding

to periphyton biomass) was greater at hydroperiod long (SRS) sites, and periphyton standing was greater at crop hydroperiod short sites (S332) excluding the 2005 water year.



Water year

Figure 4. Infaunal crowding (A) and periphyton standing crop (B) at short (S332) and long (SRS) hydroperiod sites. Points are a regional (SRS or S332) mean (± 95% CI) for a given water year (x-axis). \* indicates significant difference (P < 0.05) between S332 and SRS during a water year.

### Infaunal community composition

Infaunal macroinvertebrate community composition was summarized with a nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination (Fig 5A). Infaunal community composition was less variable among long hydroperiod (SRS) sites than short hydroperiod (S332) sites during the study period (Fig 5B). S332 and SRS communities were similar in the 2005 water year (Fig 6)



NMDS1

NMDS1 Figure 5. Axes of a NMDS ordination (stress = 17.6) provide two composite variables to describe among-site variation in community composition (A). Convex hulls indicate the range of taxonomic composition observed for short hydroperiod (S332) and long hydroperiod (SRS) sites (B).



composition with respect to NMDS2 differ among long and short hydroperiod sites during the drier water years (2006 and 2007) (B).

#### References

- Liston, S. E. and J. C. Trexler. 2005. Spatiotemporal patterns community structure of macroinvertebrates inhabiting calcareous periphyton mats. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 24:832-844.
- Telis, P. A., et al. 2006. The Everglades Depth Estimation Network (EDEN) for support of ecological and biological assessments. US Geological Survey FactSheet 3087.

#### Conclusions

Generally, macroinvertabrate Infaunal communities were more densely packed at long hydroperiod sites (SRS) than short periphyton hydroperiod sites, and at short standing crop was greater hydroperiod sites. During the 2005 water year, when sites were inundated longer, SRS and S332 sites had similar periphyton standing crops and community composition.

Southeast Environmental Research Center

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

#### Implications

The observed response of the macro-Figure 6. NMDS1 (A) shows S332 and SRS sites are invertebrate community to yearly changes different in all years excluding 2005. Community in hydroperiod suggest planned management strategies to increase the hydroperiod in the Rocky Glades region (SE region of ENP near C-111 canal) may have an immediate, restorative impact on the macroinvertebrate community.

#### Acknowledgments

Field and lab work and data management for this project has been a joint effort among many people in the Trexler lab at FIU. This project was funded by the CESI program at ENP through cooperative agreement task J5284060023.